

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT.....	1
II. ARGUMENT.....	2
A. The NRC Misstates the Standard Of Review.....	2
B. The NRC Was Not Entitled to Dismiss Petitioners’ Environmental Contentions As a Matter of Law.....	4
1. The <i>Metropolitan Edison</i> doctrine is not applicable to this case.....	5
2. The question of whether the environmental impacts of terrorist attacks are foreseeable cannot be disposed of as a matter of law.....	11
a. The NRC has no rational basis for declaring that the environmental impacts of terrorist attacks are speculative as a matter of law.....	12
b. The question of whether the environmental impacts of terrorist attacks are foreseeable is a factual in nature, not legal.....	14
c. The Vehicle Bomb Rule contradicts the NRC’s argument that the environmental impacts of terrorist attacks are not foreseeable.....	15
3. The Commission may not lawfully deny Petitioners a hearing based on a factual determination made in a prior adjudication to which Petitioners were not parties.....	21

C.	The NRC Has No Statutory Basis to Excuse Compliance with NEPA.....	24
1.	Regulation of safety and security under the Atomic Energy Act does not excuse compliance with NEPA...25	25
2.	The NRC is not exempted from NEPA by virtue of the sensitivity of the information involved.....	29
D.	The NRC Unlawfully Denied Petitioners a Hearing on New Security Measures for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Complex..	33
E.	The Court May Consider Petitioners’ Extra-Record Exhibits.....	36
III.	CONCLUSION.....	38
	RULE 32(a)(7)(C) CERTIFICATE.....	App-1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Judicial Decisions

<i>Animal Defense Council v. Hodel</i> , 840 F.2d 1432 (9 th Cir. 1988), <i>amended</i> , 867 F.2d 1244 (9 th Cir. 1989).....	37
<i>Blue Mountains Biodiversity Project v. Blackwood</i> , 161 F.3d 1208 (1998), <i>cert. denied sub nom. MalheurLumber Co. v. Blue Mountains Biodiversity Project</i> , 527 U.S. 1003 (1999)	15
<i>Brand X. Internet Services v. FCC</i> , 345 F.3d 1120 (9 th Cir. 2003).....	3
<i>Calvert Cliffs Coordinating Committee v. AEC</i> , 449 F.2d 1109 (D.C. Cir. 1971)	27
<i>Chevron, U.S.A. Inc. v. NRDC</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984).....	3
<i>Cities of Anaheim, Riverside, Banning, Colton and Azusa v. FERC</i> , 723 F.2d 656 (9 th Cir. 1984)	21
<i>Citizens to Preserve Overton Park, Inc. v. Volpe</i> , 401 U.S. 402 (1971).....	36
<i>County of Suffolk v. Secretary of the Interior</i> , 562 F.2d 1368 (2 nd Cir. 1977).....	37
<i>Garcia Martinez v. Ashcroft</i> , No. 02-74068, 2004 U.S. App. LEXIS 11589 (9 th Cir. June 14, 2004).....	26
<i>Glass Packaging Institute v. Reagan</i> , 737 F.2d 1083 (D.C. Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 469 U.S. 1035 (1984), <i>overruled in part on other grounds</i> , <i>Hazardous Waste Treatment Council v. EPA</i> , 861 F.2d 277, 283 n. 2 (D.C. Cir. 1988).....	11
<i>Henderson v. United States</i> , No. 83-5748, 1986 U.S. App. LEXIS 37306 (9 th Cir. Sept. 4, 1987).....	9
<i>Idaho Conservation League v. Mumma</i> , 956 F.2d 1508 (9 th Cir. 1992).....	28

<i>Limerick Ecology Action v. NRC</i> , 869 F.2d 719 (3rd Cir. 1989)	22-24, 28, 30
<i>Massachusetts Public Interest Research Group, Inc. v. NRC</i> , 852 F.2d 9 (1 st Cir. 1988).....	35-36
<i>Metropolitan Edison Co. v. People Against Nuclear Energy</i> , 460 U.S. 766 (1983).....	5-7, 9-10, 21
<i>Minnesota v. NRC</i> , 602 F.2d 412 (D.C. Cir. 1979).....	22-24
<i>Murray v. Wright</i> , 333 P.2d 111 (Cal. Ct. App. 1958).....	9
<i>National Audubon Society v. U.S. Forest Service</i> , 46 F.3d 1437 (9 th Cir. 1993).....	37
<i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. NRC</i> , 547 F.2d 633 (D.C. Cir. 1976), <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> , <i>Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. Natural Resources Defense Council</i> , 435 U.S. 519 (1978).	22
<i>NLRV v. Bell Aerospace Co.</i> , 416 U.S. 267 (1974).....	2-3, 21
<i>No GWEN Alliance v. Aldridge</i> , 855 F.2d 1380 (9 th Cir. 1988).....	6, 13
<i>Palma v. U.S. Industrial Fasteners, Inc.</i> , 681 P.2d 893 (Cal. 1984)	9
<i>Pfaff v. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</i> , 88 F.3d 739 (9 th Cir. 1996).....	3
<i>Presidio Golf Club v. National Park Service</i> , 155 F.3d 1153 (9 th Cir. 1998).....	8
<i>Richardson v. Ham</i> , 285 P.2d 269, 271 (Cal. 1955).....	9
<i>Riverkeeper Inc. v. Collins</i> , 359 F.3d 156 (2 nd Cir. 2004).....	26
<i>Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council</i> , 490 U.S. 332 (1989).....	3, 18, 29

<i>Securities Exchange Commission v. Chenery</i> , 332 U.S. 194 (1947).....	21
<i>Selkirk Conservation Alliance v. Forsgren</i> , 336 F.3d 944 (9 th Cir. 2003).....	29
<i>Sheet Metal Workers International Association, Local No. 355 v. NLRB</i> , 716 F.2d 1249 (9 th Cir. 1983).	21
<i>Siegel v. Atomic Energy Commission</i> , 400 F.2d 778 (D.C. Cir. 1968)	25-27
<i>Sierra Club v. Marsh</i> , 769 F.2d 868 (1 st Cir. 1985).....	14-16
<i>Sierra Club v. NRC</i> , 862 F.2d 222 (9 th Cir. 1988).	4
<i>Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</i> , 435 U.S. 519 (1978)	22
<i>Vincent v. Apfel</i> , 191 F.3d 1143 (9 th Cir. 1999).....	3
<i>Warm Springs Dam Task Force v. Gribble</i> , 621 F.2d 1017 (9 th Cir. 1980).....	18
<i>Weinberger v. Catholic Action of Hawaii</i> , 454 U.S. 139 (1981).....	31-32

Administrative Decisions

<i>Duke Cogema Stone and Webster</i> (Savannah River Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility), LBP-01-35, 54 NRC 403 (2001), <i>reversed in relevant part</i> , CLI-02-24, 56 NRC 335 (2002).....	12
<i>Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company</i> (Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station), ALAB-161, 6 AEC 1003 (1973).....	34
<i>Pacific Gas and Electric Co.</i> (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2), CLI-80-24, 11 NRC 775 (1980).....	30
<i>Private Fuel Storage, L.L.C.</i> (Independent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-02-03, 55 NRC 155 (2002)	23

<i>Private Fuel Storage, L.L.C.</i> (Independent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-02-25, 56 NRC 340 (2002)	4-5, 20-23, 25
<i>PSEG Nuclear LLC</i> (Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2); Hope Creek Generating Station), DD-02-3, 56 NRC 243, 262 (2002), <i>review declined</i> , unpublished letter of NRC Secretary (Dec. 6, 2002).....	20

Statutes

Administrative Procedure Act

5 U.S.C. § 553 et seq.	24
-----------------------------	----

Atomic Energy Act

General.....	passim
42 U.S.C. § 2077(c)	34
42 U.S.C. § 2099	34
42 U.S.C. § 2111	34
42 U.S.C. § 2167	29-30
42 U.S.C. § 2201(b).....	34
42 U.S.C. § 2231.....	30
42 U.S.C. § 2239(a).....	24

National Environmental Policy Act

42 U.S.C. § 4331 et seq.	passim
-------------------------------	--------

Regulations

NRC Regulations

10 C.F.R. § 2.206.....	35
10 C.F.R. § 2.714	3
10 C.F.R. § 2.790.....	30
10 C.F.R. § 50.13	25-27
10 C.F.R. § 51.71	17

Council on Environmental Quality Regulations

40 C.F.R. § 1508.14.....	11
--------------------------	----

Federal Register Notices

Final Rule, Protection Against Malevolent Use of Vehicles at Nuclear Power Plants, 59 Fed. Reg. 38,889 (August 1, 1994)....	15-20, 26
--	-----------

NRC Guidance Documents

NUREG-1555, Environmental Standard Review Plan for Environmental Review for Nuclear Power Plants (October 1999).....	8, 19
---	-------

Miscellaneous

COMSECY-02-0015, Memorandum to NRC Commissioners from William D. Travers, Executive Director for Operations, re: <i>Withholding Sensitive Homeland Security Information From the Public</i> (April 4, 2002).....	31
Dan Eggen, <i>Al Qaeda Scaled Back 10-Plane Plot</i> , WASH. POST, June 17, 2003, at A-1.....	14-15

Letter from Roy P. Zimmerman via Michael F. Weber, NRC Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response Operations, to Joe F. Colvin, President and Chief Executive Officer, NEI (September 17, 2003).....32

Restatement (Second) of Torts, §§ 302(B), 449 (1965).....9